

SCIENCE PASSION TECHNOLOGY

# Data Integration and Large Scale Analysis 12 Distributed ML Systems

#### Shafaq Siddiqi

Graz University of Technology, Austria Slides credit: Matthias Boehm

Last update: Jan 27, 2023





### Agenda

- Landscape of ML Systems
- Distributed Parameter Servers
- Q&A and Exam Preparation





# Landscape of ML Systems





### What is an ML System?



Landscape of ML Systems







# **Driving Factors for ML**

- Improved Algorithms and Models
  - Success across data and application domains (e.g., health care, finance, transport, production)
  - More complex models which leverage large data
- Availability of Large Data Collections
  - Increasing automation and monitoring → data (simplified by cloud computing & services)
  - Feedback loops, data programming/augmentation
- HW & SW Advancements
  - Higher performance of hardware and infrastructure (cloud)
  - Open-source large-scale computation frameworks, ML systems, and vendor-provides libraries









ISDS

6

Landscape of ML Systems



<sup>7</sup> Stack of ML S	Systems	Val	idation &	Deployment &	
Hyper-paramete	Training	Debugging		Jeoning	
Tuning	ML Apps & Algorithms	5	Supervised, unsu linear algebra, lik	ipervised, RL os, AutoML	
Model and Feature Selection	Language Abstractions	S	Eager interpretation, lazy evaluation, prog. compilation		
Data Programming & Augmentation	Fault Tolerance		Approximation, I checkpointing, cl	ineage, hecksums, ECC	
Data Preparation	<b>Execution Strategies</b>		Local, distributec (data, task, parar	l, cloud neter server)	
(e.g., one-hot, binning)	Data Representations		Dense & sparse t compress, partiti	ensor/matrix; ion, cache	
Data Integration & Data Cleaning	HW & Infrastructure		CPUs, NUMA, GP ASICs, RDMA, SS	PUs, FPGAs, D/NVM	

Improve accuracy vs. performance vs. resource requirements
Specialization & Heterogeneity

# Accelerators (GPUs, FPGAs, ASICs)

#### **Memory- vs Compute-intensive**

- **CPU:** dense/sparse, large mem, high mem-bandwidth, moderate compute
- **GPU:** dense, small mem, slow PCI, very high mem-bandwidth / compute

### Graphics Processing Units (GPUs)

- Extensively used for deep learning training and scoring
- NVIDIA Volta: "tensor cores" for 4x4 mm  $\rightarrow$  64 2B FMA instruction
- Field-Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs)
  - Customizable HW accelerators for prefiltering, compression, DL
  - Examples: Microsoft Catapult/Brainwave Neural Processing Units (NPUs)
- Application-Specific Integrated Circuits (ASIC)
  - Spectrum of chips: DL accelerators to computer vision
  - Examples: Google TPUs (64K 1B FMA), NVIDIA DLA, Intel NNP







Apps

8

9

### Data Representation

- ML- vs DL-centric Systems
  - ML: dense and sparse matrices or tensors, different sparse formats (CSR, CSC, COO), frames (heterogeneous)
  - DL: mostly dense tensors, embeddings for NLP, graphs

#### Data-Parallel Operations for ML

- Distributed matrices: RDD<MatrixIndexes,MatrixBlock>
- Data properties: distributed caching, partitioning, compression
- Lossy Compression Acc/Perf-Tradeoff
  - Sparsification (reduce non-zero values)
  - Quantization (reduce value domain), learned
  - New data types: Intel Flexpoint (mantissa, exp)



0.02







0.00

-0.02

\_0.04



Lang

## **Execution Strategies**

- Batch Algorithms: Data and Task Parallel
  - Data-parallel operations
  - Different physical operators

#### Mini-Batch Algorithms: Parameter Server

- Data-parallel and model-parallel PS
- Update strategies (e.g., async, sync, backup)
- Data partitioning strategies
- Federated ML (trend 2018)
- Lots of PS Decisions Acc/Perf-Tradeoff
  - Configurations (#workers, batch size/param schedules, update type/freq)
  - Transfer optimizations: lossy compression, sparsification, residual accumulation, layer-wise all-reduce, gradient clipping, momentum corrections



Parameter Servers



HW

ISDS



MAHOUT

Apache

SystemML<sup>\*\*</sup>

Apps

Lang

Faults

Exec

Data

HW

# Fault Tolerance & Resilience

- **Resilience Problem** 
  - Increasing error rates at scale (soft/hard mem/disk/net errors)
  - Robustness for preemption
  - Need cost-effective resilience
- Fault Tolerance in Large-Scale Computation
  - Block replication (min=1, max=3) in distributed file systems
  - ECC; checksums for blocks, broadcast, shuffle
  - Checkpointing (MapReduce: all task outputs; Spark/DL: on request)
  - Lineage-based recomputation for recovery in Spark
- ML-specific Schemes (exploit app characteristics)
  - Estimate contribution from lost partition to avoid strugglers
  - Example: user-defined "compensation" functions





12

## Language Abstractions

- Optimization Scope
  - #1 Eager Interpretation (debugging, no opt)
  - #2 Lazy expression evaluation (some opt, avoid materialization)
  - #3 Program compilation (full opt, difficult)
- Optimization Objective
  - Most common: min time s.t. memory constraints
  - Multi-objective: min cost s.t. time, min time s.t. acc, max acc s.t. time

sum

 $\Theta \mid Z$ 

**Tensor**Flow

### Trend: Fusion and Code Generation

- Custom fused operations
- Examples: SystemDS, Weld, Taco, Julia, TF XLA, TVM, TensorRT

X 0 Y





Apache

**SystemML**<sup>™</sup>





Apache

**SystemDS** 

# **ML** Applications

- ML Algorithms (cost/benefit time vs acc)
  - Unsupervised/supervised; batch/mini-batch; first/second-order ML
  - Mini-batch DL: variety of NN architectures and SGD optimizers
- Specialized Apps: Video Analytics in NoScope (time vs acc)
  - Difference detectors / specialized models for "short-circuit evaluation"
- AutoML (time vs acc)
  - Not algorithms but tasks (e.g., doClassify(X, y) + search space)
  - Examples: MLBase, Auto-WEKA, TuPAQ, Auto-sklearn, Auto-WEKA 2.0
  - AutoML services at Microsoft Azure, Amazon AWS, Google Cloud
- Data Programming and Augmentation (acc?)
  - Generate noisy labels for pre-training
  - Tremblay'18 Exploit expert rules, simulation models, rotations/shifting, and labeling IDEs (Software 2.0)





[Credit: Daniel Kang'17]

[Credit:



ISDS



#### 13



# Landscape of ML Systems



### **#1** Language Abstraction



#4 Data Types

**#3 Distribution** 

**#2** Execution Strategies



# **Distributed Parameter Servers**



# **Background: Mini-batch ML Algorithms**

### **Mini-batch ML Algorithms**

- Iterative ML algorithms, where each iteration only uses a **batch of rows** to make the next model update (in epochs or w/ sampling)
- For large and **highly redundant training sets**
- Applies to almost all iterative, model-based ML algorithms (LDA, reg., class., factor., DNN)
- Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)



- **Statistical efficiency:** # accessed data points to achieve certain accuracy
- Hardware efficiency: number of independent computations to achieve high hardware utilization (parallelization at different levels)
- Beware higher variance / class skew for too small batches!

### Training Mini-batch ML algorithms sequentially is hard to scale





17

# Background: Mini-batch DNN Training (LeNet)

```
[Yann LeCun, Leon Bottou, Yoshua
# Initialize W1-W4, b1-b4
                                                          Bengio, and Patrick Haffner: Gradient-
# Initialize SGD w/ Nesterov momentum optimizer
                                                           Based Learning Applied to Document
iters = ceil(N / batch size)
                                                             Recognition, Proc of the IEEE 1998]
for( e in 1:epochs ) {
   for( i in 1:iters ) {
      X batch = X[((i-1) * batch size) \% N + 1:min(N, beg + batch size - 1),]
      y batch = Y[((i-1) * batch size) \% N + 1:min(N, beg + batch size - 1),]
      ## layer 1: conv1 -> relu1 -> pool1
      ## layer 2: conv2 -> relu2 -> pool2
                                                                                NN Forward
      ## layer 3: affine3 -> relu3 -> dropout
      ## layer 4: affine4 -> softmax
                                                                                    Pass
      outa4 = affine::forward(outd3, W4, b4)
      probs = softmax::forward(outa4)
      ## layer 4: affine4 <- softmax</pre>
                                                                               NN Backward
      douta4 = softmax::backward(dprobs, outa4)
      [doutd3, dW4, db4] = affine::backward(douta4, outr3, W4, b4)
                                                                                    Pass
      ## layer 3: affine3 <- relu3 <- dropout</pre>
                                                                                \rightarrow Gradients
      ## layer 2: conv2 <- relu2 <- pool2</pre>
      ## layer 1: conv1 <- relu1 <- pool1</pre>
      # Optimize with SGD w/ Nesterov momentum W1-W4, b1-b4
                                                                                   Model
      [W4, vW4] = sgd nesterov::update(W4, dW4, lr, mu, vW4)
                                                                                  Updates
      [b4, vb4] = sgd nesterov::update(b4, db4, lr, mu, vb4)
   }
}
```





### **Overview Data-Parallel Parameter Servers**

System Architecture

18

- M Parameter Servers
- N Workers
- Optional Coordinator



#### Key Techniques

#### **N** Workers

- Data partitioning D → workers Di (e.g., disjoint, reshuffling)
- Updated strategies (e.g., synchronous, asynchronous)
- Batch size strategies (small/large batches, hybrid methods)

# History of Parameter Servers

- 1<sup>st</sup> Gen: Key/Value
  - Distributed key-value store for parameter exchange and synchronization
  - Relatively high overhead
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Gen: Classic Parameter Servers
  - Parameters as dense/sparse matrices
  - Different update/consistency strategies
  - Flexible configuration and fault tolerance
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Gen: Parameter Servers w/ improved data communication
  - Prefetching and range-based pull/push
  - Lossy or lossless compression w/ compensations

#### Examples

TensorFlow, MXNet, PyTorch, CNTK, Petuum

[Alexander J. Smola, Shravan M. Narayanamurthy: An Architecture for Parallel Topic Models. **PVLDB 2010**]

AT A DESCRIPTION OF	facality fight Bolletis
	.192081.
Nonrand Manager	THE REAL

[Jeffrey Dean et al.: Large Scale Distributed Deep Networks. **NIPS 2012**]

Long Auto Distributed Berg No.	rat.
-15101000000	Q-1

[Mu Li et al: Scaling Distributed Machine Learning with the Parameter Server. **OSDI 2014**]

	Contrary with the Polytopic Science
Barris Double Indexes and	and and the second second second
	al Agen / Bride Ra Traylor
	interests their longe
10000	CHEMICAL PROFESSION
	4 (1994) - 199
	- The second sec
	-
	A DESIGNATION AND A DESIGNATION OF
	S STREET, S S
	the second second second second second
	Contraction of the second second second
1 Million Anno	service of a service of the last
	Call and the local beautiful to the
	Contract and the decision of
	and a set of the set o
	No. or Pagements
	The A. Switches, M. general, Son, Nam.
	an even and an even of the second
	- 20 AMA 047 TOO 30
	12 BASES PARAMET
Rouge - Constant and	STATE AND INCOME.
	the second se
	the state of the s

[Jiawei Jiang, Bin Cui, Ce Zhang, Lele Yu: Heterogeneity-aware Distributed Parameter Servers. SIGMOD 2017]



[Jiawei Jiang et al: SketchML: Accelerating Distributed Machine Learning with Data Sketches. SIGMOD 2018]





### Basic Worker Algorithm (batch)

```
for( i in 1:epochs ) {
   for( j in 1:iterations ) {
     params = pullModel(); # W1-W4, b1-b4 lr, mu
     batch = getNextMiniBatch(data, j);
     gradient = computeGradient(batch, params);
     pushGradients(gradient);
   }
}
```

[Jeffrey Dean et al.: Large Scale Distributed Deep Networks. NIPS 2012]







### Extended Worker Algorithm (nfetch batches)

```
gradientAcc = matrix(0,...);
                                                 nfetch batches require
                                               local gradient accrual and
for( i in 1:epochs ) {
                                                  local model update
   for( j in 1:iterations ) {
      if( step mod nfetch = 0 )
          params = pullModel();
      batch = getNextMiniBatch(data, j);
      gradient = computeGradient(batch, params);
      gradientAcc += gradient;
      params = updateModel(params, gradients);
      if( step mod nfetch = 0 ) {
          pushGradients(gradientAcc); step = 0;
          gradientAcc = matrix(0, ...);
       }
                                               [Jeffrey Dean et al.: Large Scale
                                                 Distributed Deep Networks.
      step++;
                                                            NIPS 2012
}
   }
```



ISDS

21



# **Update Strategies**

### Bulk Synchronous Parallel (BSP)

22

- Update model w/ accrued gradients
- Barrier for N workers

# Asynchronous Parallel (ASP)

- Update model for each gradient
- No barrier

### Synchronous w/ Backup Workers

- Update model w/ accrued gradients
- Barrier for N of N+b workers



Batch 1	Batch 2	2 E	Batch	3		but, stale
Batch 1	Bat	tch 2	Ba	tch 3		model
Batch 1	В	atch 2		Batc	h 3	updates
Batch	1	Batc	h 2	Bato	ch 3	

[Martín Abadi et al: TensorFlow: A System for

Large-Scale Machine Learning. OSDI 2016]

Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3
Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3
Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3
Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3



# Q&A and Exam Preparation

Selected Example Questions





## Multiple choice question (40/100)

#### Given the dataset identify the type of missingness.

- a. Missing Completely at Random (MCAR)
- b. Missing at Random (MAR)
- c. Missing Not at Random (MNAR)
- d. All of above

Profession	Salary
А	2000
С	5000
В	2300
С	?
С	?
А	2000





### Data Warehousing

 Given the following normalized schema, create a Star Schema that covers all information. Annotate the key concepts. [15/100 points]





### Message-oriented Middleware

 Describe the Message Delivery Guarantees At-Most-Once, At-Least-Once and Exactly-Once, and indicate which of them require persistent storage before sending. [6/100 points]

Name	Description	Storage
At-Most-Once	Send and forget, never sent message twice (even on failures)	No
At-Least-Once	Store and forward, replay stream from (acknowledged) checkpoint	Yes
Exactly-Once	Store and forward, replay stream from (acknowledged) checkpoint, transactional delivery	Yes





# Schema Matching / Entity Linking

 Explain the phases of a typical Entity Resolution Pipeline with example techniques for the individual phases. [20/100 points]





### Data Parallel Computation / Stream Mining

- Assume three nodes with CPU and memory capacity N1 (32 cores, 64 GB), N2 (16 cores, 64 GB), N3 (64 cores, 128 GB) and a stream of resource requests R1 . . . R7. Schedule these requests to available resources (assign requests to nodes) in order to maximize the number of fulfilled requests. (4 points)
- R1: (30 cores, 8 GB)
- R2: (6 cores, 32 GB)
- R3: (8 cores, 64 GB)
- R4: (10 cores, 32 GB) utilization
- R5: (8 cores, 32 GB)
- R6: (16 cores, 64 GB)
- R7: (16 cores, 16 GB)





# Stream Processing

- Describe the concept of Continuous Queries and a Basic System Infrastructure to process them over incoming data streams. [8/100 points]
  - Deployed Data flow graphs
  - Nodes: asynchronous ops (w/ state) (e.g., separate threads / queues)
  - Edges: data dependencies (tuple/message streams)
  - Push model: data production controlled by source



- Max throughput
- Min tuple latency



 $\frac{1}{\max(C(op_i))} = \frac{1}{5} \text{ tuples/ms} = \frac{200 \text{ tuples/s}}{\sup(C(op_i))} = 1\text{ms} + 5\text{ms} + 2\text{ms} = \frac{8\text{ms}}{5}$ 



30



# Stream Processing, cont.

- Describe the three classes of techniques for handling overload situations in continuous queries? [6/100 points]
- #1 Back Pressure
  - Graceful handling of overload w/o data loss
  - Slow down sources
  - E.g., blocking queues
- #2 Load Shedding
  - #1 Random-sampling-based load shedding
  - #2 Relevance-based load shedding
  - #3 Summary-based load shedding (synopses)
- #3 Distributed Stream Processing (see last part)
  - Data flow partitioning (distribute the query)
  - Key range partitioning (distribute the data stream





Self-adjusting operator scheduling Pipeline runs at rate of slowest op

ISD



### Summary and Q&A

- Landscape of ML Systems
- Distributed Parameter Servers
- Q&A and Exam Preparation



(please, participate in the course evaluation)

- #2 Course Evaluation and Exam
  - Evaluation period: Jan 15 Feb 15 (1/98)
  - Exam date: Feb 10

